UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,720	10/03/2003	Robert C. Lam	01168/DKT00076	6119	
43215 7590 11/13/2008 EMCH, SCHAFFER, SCHAUB & PORCELLO, CO., L.PA. P.O. BOX 916			EXAMINER		
			STEELE, JENNIFER A		
TOLEDO, OH 43697-0916			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1794			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/13/2008	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/678,720	LAM, ROBERT C.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	JENNIFER STEELE	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	plies: (1) an amendment, affidav Il (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, wh with 37 CFR 41.31; or	nich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth er than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejectior	١.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later th may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amount ortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office	te extension fee action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliant filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, bu  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	ideration and/or search (see NO); r form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying th	
<ul> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).</li> <li>4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121</li> </ul>			TOL-324).
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		timely filed amendment	canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 6-9,12,13 and 29.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and swas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is r	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
<ul> <li>11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but of See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (P</li> </ul>		n condition for allowand	e because:
13. Other:	1.0.00,1 apol 110(5).		
/J. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 1794	/Elizabeth M. Cole/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 1794	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants did not amend the claims and Applicants arguments are not persuasive. As Lam '897 and Lam '151 and Smith are drawn to friction materials and all references combined teach that using carbon fibers and partially carbonized fibers for producing a friction material at the claimed compositions, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the partially carbonized fibers in the structure of Lam '897. As Applicant argues that none of the references teach partially carbonized friction materials in the secondary layer. Lam '897 teaches friction modifying particles can be used in the secondary layer and Smith teaches partially carbonized fibers would be considered a friction modifying particle and therefore it would have been obvious to substitute one known friction modifying particle for another known friction modifying particle.